<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 2-25 are pending in the application. Claim 1 has been cancelled previously. Claims 2-5 and 7-25 stand rejected in this Office Action. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Since claim 6 is written in a Markush form, multiple limitations are present in claim 6. In this response, claim 5 includes one of the limitations from claim 6, and is therefore allowable. Claims 26 and 27 each include the limitations of original claim 5 with one of the other limitations of claim 6, and are therefore allowable. Claim 17 includes the limitations of amended claim 5 with an additional limitation and should therefore be allowable. Claim 20 is a method claim directed to a method of using the system described in claim 5, and should therefore be allowable. No new matter has been added.

Consideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 2, 2004 William E. Schmidt, Reg. No. 47,064

Madan, Mossman & Sriram, P.C.

2603 Augusta Suite 700

Houston, Texas 77057-5638 Tel: (713) 266-1130 x 120

Fax: (713) 266-8510 Attorney For Applicant